HIDDEN SYMBOLISM IN MARSDEN HARTLEY'S MILITARY PICTURES

GAIL LEVIN

Purposefully cryptic regarding the interpretation of his military pictures of 1913-14, Marsden Hartley vested in them a profound testament. Thus, in the matrix of abstraction lies an impassioned talisman of the Prussian officer Karl von Freyburg.

Despite the signs of impending disaster, Marsden Hartley chose to remain in Germany after the declaration of war in August 1914. He had lived in Berlin since May 1913, except for an absence of four months, from November 1913 through March 1914, when he returned to New York in order to raise the money necessary to support his life abroad. His two closest friends, Arnold Rönebeck and Karl von Freyburg, were Prussian officers. Rönebeck was wounded during the winter of 1914-15, but his cousin Von Freyburg was killed in action in France on October 7, 1914. At this time, Hartley stopped working on his “Amerika” or Indian theme paintings and began a series of emblematic military pictures, some of which are a direct tribute to his dead friend.

When Hartley finally had to return to New York in December 1915, his paintings from the previous two years in Germany were delayed in transit due to the war. Forty oil paintings from this period were eventually exhibited at “291” from April 4 to May 22, 1916. In Hartley’s own statement about this “Germanic group” of pictures, he claimed:

The forms are only those which I have observed casually from day to day. There is no hidden symbolism whatsoever in them; there is no slight intention of that anywhere. Things under observation, just pictures of any day, any hour, I have expressed only what I have seen. They are merely consultations of the eye... my notion of the purely pictorial.

In his review of this exhibition for the New York Sun, art critic Henry McBride wrote:
These works are all terribly modern, of course, else they would not be shown at the Photo-Secession. There are triangles which can be readily accepted as soldier's tents, and there are rhythmic repetitions of horses and constant suggestions of uniforms of dragoons, banners, swords and all the pomp and circumstance of war. So much even a Philadelphian could make out. But as to the exact episode or emotion that the artist portrays there will be less certainty, although Mr. Hartley says he has expressed only what he saw during his travels in Germany.4

As McBride expressed his doubts that there was "no hidden symbolism" in Hartley's Germanic paintings, another critic, Charles Caffin, writing in the New York American, also questioned Hartley's statement:

He tells us that he has expressed only what he has seen, 'things under observation.' This is no doubt true of many of these paintings; but there are others, such as the two which record, respectively, his sensation on hearing of the death of a friend's horse and on reading a friend's description of a dream. The motive of these is scarcely what has been seen, unless it be in the mind's eye.6

Caffin also wrote in his review that in spite of the recognizable details in Hartley's paintings, "the whole has the intangibility of abstract expression."6

Hartley's close friend Arnold Rönnebeck, writing to the art collector Duncan Phillips after Hartley's death, indicates that there is good reason not to accept Hartley's claim of "no hidden symbolism whatsoever." Referring to Hartley's Portrait of a German Officer (Fig. 1), Rönnebeck, a sculptor who later became director of the Denver Art Museum, wrote that he had seen Hartley at work on this painting in the winter of 1914-15 in his studio in Berlin; he explained:

There is a very personal and emotional connection between this picture and myself, because I am partly symbolized in it. I am one half of the Prussian officer. The other half is a cousin of mine... Rather dominating is the Iron Cross. My cousin was killed in action in France on the 24th of October, 1914. (24). He was an active officer in the 4th regiment of the Kaiser's guards (center) 4 on blue ground (of shoulder straps). He received the Iron Cross a day before his death. Next to the 4 is an E and I am certain that it is in red on yellow ground which stands for Queen Elisa-
beth of Greece or the patroness of the third regiment of the grand-grenadiers in which I then served. The E appears again in the lower middle right on my “full-dress epaulettes” and the long tassles next to 24 represent the heavy silver-wire tassles I wore as an aide-de-camp in the guards.

In the lower left corner we distinguish the initials K. V. F. My cousin’s name was Karl von Freyburg. The triangle symbolized the friendship and the understanding between 3 men: Hartley, Karl V. Freyburg and myself. During the winter of 1914/15 I was hospitalized, wounded and battered, in Berlin, but the Iron Cross had already been awarded to me. Hartley admired its beautiful shape, designed by the great German architect Schinkel in 1813 and asked me to leave it on his palette-table as something of a silent friend who had left us. Karl loved to play chess which explains the black and white squares.

Rönebeck’s convincing interpretation suggests that Hartley intentionally wished to dispel any notion of what his German paintings were about. This was probably due to Hartley’s realization of the anti-German feeling in New York at the time, and to his desire to achieve some financial success and security through the sale of his works. Unfortunately, his exhibition coincided with the height of agitation against German-Americans. Motivated by nationalistic anxiety, even Teddy Roosevelt was promoting “America for Americans” and anti-German sentiment was rampant.

Rönebeck’s interpretation was essentially accurate except that the number 24 stands for Karl von Freyburg’s age at his death on October 7, 1914. Hartley had written to Stiglitz to express his personal agony at the loss of this close friend, and this is clearly the “hidden symbolism” of his visually powerful emblematic painting. Hartley’s lack of candor about his work and the inspiration motivating him in this instance is in keeping with his denials of various influences on his work. Like so many artists, he often wanted to preserve a sense of mystery about the subjects and the sources of his work.

In one of his first German officer paintings, Berlin Abstraction of 1914 (Fig. 2), the combination of abstract shapes, bright colors, and numbers also symbolizes the death of Hartley’s friend Karl von Freyburg. The Iron Cross, given for distinguished service in war, is again prominent, as are the forms of a setting sun at the bottom, an officer’s dress helmet in the center, with epaulettes off to either side. Each of the epaulettes and the hat contain the number 8, which Hartley may have chosen to use here because he felt that its mystical significance would be appropriate to commemorate the death of his friend. The number 24, which is also predominant here, again represents the age at which Karl von Freyburg was killed in action. The setting sun...
Fig. 11. Marsden Hartley, Berlin Ante-War, 1914. Oil on canvas, 41 1/4 x 34 1/2". Columbus Museum of Art, Columbus, Ohio.

against a red “sky” at the bottom of this painting must also refer to this soldier’s sudden death, for red symbolizes martyrdom, and the phenomenon of the sudden disappearance of the setting sun below the horizon is related in mythology to the sudden death of heroes such as Samson, Hercules, and Siegfried. Another “sun,” red and not setting, containing the number 9, is located at the top of this painting in a yellow circle superimposed on a larger white triangle framed in blue which Kandinsky, whose writings Hartley had admired, had referred to as “the typical heavenly color.” The bright colors in this canvas still relate to his series of American Indian themes, which he interrupted to memorialize his friend. His sense of mourning perhaps prompted his eventual adaptation of a black ground. Hartley had been enchanted by Rönebeck and Von Freyburg from his first meeting with them in Paris during the summer of 1912, not long after he had arrived there the previous spring. On July 30, 1912, he wrote to Stieglitz expressing his enthusiasm not only for his two friends, but for the romantic vision of Berlin which they represented:

I am hoping strongly that I can go to Berlin to see the galleries in the early spring for since I have made the friendship of these fine German boys—the sculptor and the handsome officer, they are anxious to have me go there, and the sculptor goes back for two months service then. I could have such a grand time there with these fellows.10

On August 12, 1912, Hartley also wrote to his friend, the artist Rockwell Kent, of this attraction:

Paris is a delight really, even though as I say, one can be insufferably bored here as anywhere else if it is one’s nature to feel that way.... Personally, while I do not altogether dislike the French, I turn to the Germans with more alacrity for they are more sturdy like ourselves though one makes allowance too for differences as they must likewise make allowance for us. I have been fortunate in knowing several excellent Germans here and I am more than ever attracted to Germany and hope to go there while I am over and yet I wander.11

Hartley first visited Germany in the company of Rönebeck during three weeks in January 1913. He stressed in a lengthy letter to Kent in March 1913 that he would take his place among the Germans because “they too are mystical whereas the French are merely intellectual.”12 After only a brief visit, he adored Berlin “where life is a lovely thing in itself, where mankind is healthy and has more of a soul than I could ever discover in France in a lifetime.” He described the Germans as “a wholesome and really warm people.... the handsomest specimens you ever saw” and Berlin as “ultra-modern” with “a unique sense of order and cleanliness” where “health and order and gaiety prevails.” He felt the French to be “utterly feminine in its essence while Germany is essentially masculine with a masculine ruggedness and vitality,” and explained:

Of course the military system is accountable for many things—and to some this military element is objectionable—but it stimulates my child’s love for the public spectacle—and such wonderful specimens of health these men are—thousands all so blonde and radiant.

Both Stieglitz and Kent shared Hartley’s admiration for Germany, also preferring it to France. In a letter to Kent dated September 14, 1914, Stieglitz lamented the growing anti-German feelings in America; indicating his own pro-German feelings, he stated that although less “polished” than the French, the German people stood at “the head of civilization.”13

Hartley had written to Stieglitz from Berlin on September 28, 1913: “The German is most essentially a symbolist and there is evidence that mysticism has had its home here. . . . I am mystic too but what I want to express is not national but universal. . . .”14 He had been interested in mysticism long before he arrived in Paris in April 1912, but it was in Europe that he found reinforcement of his ideas in the writings of Meister Eckhart, Jacob Boehme, William James, the Bhagavad Gita, and Richard Maurice Bucke’s Cosmic Consciousness.15 In his copy of Boehme’s The Supersensational Life, inscribed “Marsden Hartley, Paris 1912,” for example, the Disciple asks the Master:

How shall I arrive at this Heavenly Understanding. . . . at this pure and naked knowledge which is abstracted from the senses. . . . For, alas, I am touched every moment by the things which are about me; and overcome by the.

Fig. 12. Marsden Hartley, Painting Number 46, 1914-15. Oil on canvas, 39 x 31 1/4". Albright-Knox Art Gallery, Buffalo.

Fig. 13. Marsden Hartley, Abstraction, 1914-15. Oil on canvas, 32 x 26". Corcoran Gallery of Art, Washington, D.C.

Fig. 14. Marsden Hartley, The Iron Cross, 1914-15. Oil on canvas, 46 1/4 x 46 1/4". Washington University Gallery of Art, St. Louis.
Clouds and Fumes which rise up out of the Earth.14 Perhaps Hartley was attempting to express such mystic visions of Heaven in works like Portrait Arrangement No. 2 of 1910-13 (Fig. 1). It is possible that the equestrian figure in Portrait Arrangement No. 2 already represented a Prussian officer, perhaps even a specific portrait of Lieutenant von Freyburg, Hartley's hand-some friend. When this equestrian figure in a circle next appears on the lower right of Portrait of Berlin of 1913 (Fig. 4), the rider is clearly a Prussian officer. The painting's subject is pre-war military pageantry combined with Christian and Oriental mysticism which fascinated Hartley at this time. He juxtaposed the Oriental seated figure in a composition found in the earlier work of 1912-13, Musical Theme (Oriental Symphony), with two triangles containing the number 8, a large "mystical" triangle enclosing the center of the composition, various circles, and numerous representations of equestrian Prussian soldiers. The soldier represented in a circular medallion is like St. George in Gabriele Münter's Still Life (Fig. 5) reproduced in the almanac Der Blaue Reiter which Hartley bought in Paris in 1912. He and the horse seem to float on clouds not unlike the depiction of St. Luke (Fig. 6) and his symbol, the ox, in a Bavarian glass painting in Der Blaue Reiter.

As in Painting No. 48, another work of 1913 (Fig. 7), Hartley included a cross linking his theme to Christian mysticism. One of the triangles containing a figure 8 is also enclosed by an eight pointed star. Hartley's emphasis on the number 8 exemplified his fascination with numbers as both visual and symbolic elements. In August 1913, he wrote to Steiglitz of his expression of "spatial mysticism" in a large painting containing a "metrical presentation of the number 8," which he claimed he saw everywhere in Berlin.17 He was probably referring to Painting No. 48. The figure 8 is contained within a large mandorla, a shape that often symbolizes the perpetual sacrifice that regenerates creative force, in part through life and death. The number 8 also refers directly to regeneration because it was on the eighth day after the entry of Christ into Jerusalem that the resurrection took place. He was perhaps initially expressing the psychological and aesthetic regeneration that he found himself in Germany.

Hartley had clearly attached symbolic significance to numbers for some time. Before he left Paris for Germany in April 1913, Hartley frequented the residence of Gertrude Stein at 27 rue de Fleurus, occasionally being honored with an invitation for dinner. Writing to accept such an invitation in late 1912 or early 1913, he compared her home to Steiglitz's beloved gallery at 291 Fifth Avenue.

I have somewhat the same feeling toward the number 291—that I have toward the number 291—they both have a magic of their own—291 is in every way an oasis in a great place—and once one gets in there—into this room the size of a kitchenette—one gets in with currents that give one a great deal—And so with 291 I like what I get there—I feel as if I were really in somewhere—whereas most places one goes one remains forever at the gate—and one wonders why one goes—whereas when the electrician flashes up the number 27 I know it is where I like to go...

From Berlin in June 1913 Hartley wrote to Miss Stein that he liked Germany, but missed certain features of Paris: "I do miss 27—I shall sometime paint why I miss 27—it is a place of living issues and the dead ones that come there don't affect it any. It is the living that live there who make it live."18

Portrait of Berlin, one of several paintings Hartley completed before the war which delight in the spectacles he had witnessed in Berlin, such as the massive military parade in mid-June 1913 on the occasion of the Kaiser's Jubilee, the 25th anniversary of the Kaiser's accession to the throne. He adored Berlin in 1913 with its constant pageantry, martial music, waving banners, and processions. The Kaiser's Jubilee itself was celebrated for two days, transforming the city with decorations, music, and visions of glory. In The Aero of 1914 (Fig. 8), Hartley may have been referring to another war-related disaster, the tragic crash of the Zeppelin L 2 in October 1913 which took the lives of all but one of the twenty-eight passengers who included many eminent scientists. The idea of linking the cross (in the lower right corner and around the frame) with an image representing the ill-fated naval airship probably came to Hartley from the much publicized commemorative sketch produced by the Kaiser himself. His Majesty had depicted "a naval airship light house on a rocky coast and a shining cross in the sky."19

Hartley's response to the German prewar pageantry is evident in Warriors (Fig. 9) and Military (Fig. 10) of 1913 and Berlin Ante-War of 1914 (Fig. 11). In Military, also known as Brass Band or Pre-War Pageant, he once again dealt with the idea of music expressed through color and form. The sound of the brass military band is evoked and the blue circle at the bottom represents the form of one of the horns. Again, although less prominent, the mystical 8 appears, this time within a rectangle.

In Berlin Ante-War, he depicted a Prussian officer in white dress uniform on a blue horse floating on clouds amidst yellow crosses on blue medallions. Behind the officer is a large circle or halo containing a cross and a kneeling white horse with a "mystical" number 8 emblazoned on its hindquarters. Below, much like a predella on an altarpiece, are four tiny scenes placed around a larger, more abstract rectangle containing a cross. Hartley, who was familiar with the votive paintings in the almanac Der Blaue Reiter, produced his own tribute to the Prussian officer he worshipped.

Following Von Freyburg's death, Hartley wrote to Steiglitz of his anguish at the loss of "that altogether necessary fellow who was "much loved by everyone."20 Gradually he was able to channel his grief into painting symbolic portraits of his late friend. Eventually the explicit symbolism of Portrait of X Officer gave way to purely representational canvases (Figs. 12, 13, and 14), still containing some of the emblems, numbers, letters, and shapes which originated in his tribute pictures. The resulting paintings are bold, lively abstract painting in the Synthetic Cubist tradition.21 Emphasizing patterns of primary colors juxtaposed with black and white, Hartley created his most innovative works which today stand out among the strongest abstract paintings of the first American Avant-garde.
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