In an important essay he wrote in 1927, Edward Hopper compared the work of John Sloan to that of Edgar Degas: "Sloan's design is the simple and unobtrusive tool of his visual reaction. It attempts tenaciously and ever the surprise and unbalance of nature, as did that of Degas." Referring to this discussion of Sloan and considering the relationship of Hopper's own work to that of Degas, James Thrall Soby warned:

There is, however, a danger in linking Hopper to so cultivated an artist as Degas. For while the Frenchman evolved his art from the great traditions of Italy and France, Hopper is by comparison a self-made painter who grew up from a much narrower cultural heritage. Unlike Charles Demuth, he is not remarkable for the use he has made of divergent sources, but for his relative lack of sources. Soby, like most critics, has insisted upon viewing Hopper's work as a kind of homespun American hybrid, incorrectly discounting Hopper's intense interest in European—especially French nineteenth-century—art. Hopper, himself, protested this kind of narrow-minded nationalistic criticism: "The thing that makes me so mad is the 'American Scene' business. I never tried to do the American scene as [Thomas Hart] Benton and [John Steuart] Curry and the midwestern painters did. I think the American Scene painters caricatured America. I always wanted to do myself." Describing Thomas Eakins, the American artist that he admired most, Hopper called him "a great world painter."

One of the most significant yet least known characteristics influencing Edward Hopper's artistic expression was his love of French art and culture. This was by no means limited to the period 1906-10, when he made three visits to Paris, but rather was an important, if somewhat concealed, undercurrent in his work evident even in his last painting. His fascination with things French at one time or another encompassed painting, prints, illustration, sculpture, fiction, poetry, theater, movies, and even food.

Hopper's interest in French culture began well before he first arrived in Paris at the age of twenty-four in October 1906. Until his departure for France, Hopper had studied with Robert Henri at the New York School of Art where he had been enrolled since 1900. Henri discussed certain European masters in his classes including Daumier, Manet, Courbet, Degas, Van Gogh, Gauguin, Cézanne, and Renoir among French artists. Hopper's classmate Rockwell Kent who referred to him as "the John Singer Sargent of the class" recalled that Henri's students at the time were reading and discussing Eugène Sue, Verlaine, Balzac, and the French Decadents in general. Hopper himself paid tribute to his teacher when he wrote that Henri made the "influence of the French masters of the nineteenth century...of vitally importance to American painting." Perhaps because he had gained much from several years of study and work in Paris, Henri encouraged his students to go abroad.

Hopper exclaimed over Paris in a letter to his mother written soon after his arrival:

Paris is a very graceful and beautiful city, almost too formal and sweet to the taste after the raw disorder of New York. Everything seems to have been planned with the purpose of forming a most harmonious whole which certainly has been done.

It was not only the physical beauty of Paris that captured his imagination, but also the animated Parisians: "Every street here is alive with all sorts and condition of people, priests, nuns, students, and always the little soldiers with wide red pants." He was fascinated by their constant presence in the streets and cafes and by their apparent hedonism:

The people here in fact seem to live in the streets, which are alive from morning until night, not as they are in New York with that never-ending determination for the "long-green," but with a pleasure loving crowd that doesn't care much how it goes, so that it has a good time.

He repeatedly sketched the various Parisian types he observed and also produced a series of humorous watercolor caricatures (Figs. 1, 2, and 3). During this first stay and when he returned to Paris in the spring of 1909, Hopper lived on the left bank at 48 Rue de Lille with a French family consisting of a widowed mother and her two teen-aged sons. He did not enroll in any school, but rather chose to visit exhibitions on his own and to paint out-of-doors around Paris. He saw the Salon d'Automne of 1906 which he described to his mother as "for the most part very bad," although "much more liberal in its aims than the shows at home." His first four months in Paris were quite cold, gray, and rainy, preventing him from doing much of the outdoor painting he preferred. As a result, his initial city scenes were dark to match his impression of his surroundings. Not until the weather broke in the spring did he begin to respond to the famous light in Paris. Nonetheless, as he wrote to his sister, he was immediately able to appreciate the city's infinite charms:

Paris as you must know is a most paintable city, particularly on and around the Isle de Cite [sic] which was the first Paris. Here the streets are very old and narrow and many of the houses slope back from the top of the first story which gives them a most imposing and solid appearance. The wine shops and stores beneath are darkened or given contrasting strongly with the plaster or stone above. On the roofs hundreds of pipes and chiminey [sic] pots stick up into the air giving the sky a most peculiar appearance. The roofs are all Mansard type and either of slate or zinc. On a day that's overcast this same blue-grey permeates everything.

His oil painting Paris Street with its dramatic blue-gray tonality exemplifies these first impressions of his new surroundings (Fig. 4). The city was soon to capture his heart: "I do not believe there is another city on earth so beautiful as Paris nor another people with such an appreciation of the beautiful as the French." As the weather warmed, he began to paint out-of-doors along the Seine and by late May he frequently took the boat to nearby Saint Cloud or Charenton (Figs. 5 and 6).
During this time Hopper saw Patrick Henry Bruce, his former classmate in the Henri class who had settled in Paris in early 1904. He later acknowledged that Bruce had introduced him to the work of the Impressionists in Paris, “especially Sisley, Renoir, and Pissarro.” Hopper certainly was already familiar with Manet’s painting from the Henri class, yet it is impossible to know if he made his sketches after Olympia and The Fifer in New York or Paris (Figs. 7 and 8). Among his extant sketches after French artists are Jean François Millet’s The Man with a Hoe, Henri George Alexandre Regnaut’s Salomé, Rodin’s sculpture “She Who Was Once the Helmet-Maker’s Beautiful Wife” or The Old Woman and Winter, as well as copies after Gustave Doré’s illustrations which he had known from childhood (Figs. 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13).

At the end of June Hopper left Paris to travel, going first to London which disappointed him after the French capital. He found London “less beautiful... in contrast to the gay sparkle of Paris” and wrote home about his discovery of “a little French restaurant on Soho St. where I eat cheaply and well. You see I could not forget the French cooking (there is nothing like it).” He soon referred to London as “a sad, gloomy place” and announced that he planned to return to Paris before going home: “Who could help returning to Paris—there is nothing like it.”

On August 21, 1907, after very brief visits to Holland and Germany and nearly three additional weeks in Paris, Hopper sailed for New York. He worked there as an illustrator designing for an advertising agency, yet he continued to paint. He had his first chance to show his work in the “Exhibition of Paintings and Drawings by Contemporary American Artists” held at 43-45 West 42nd Street. This group exhibition organized by several former students of Robert Henri included artists such as Arnold Friedman, Guy Pène du Bois, George Bellows, Rockwell Kent, and Glenn Coleman. Hopper exhibited three oils, The Louvre and Seine (Fig. 6), The Bridge of the Arts (Fig. 14), and The Park at St. Cloud (Fig. 5), and one drawing, Une Demimonde. His choice to show only work done in France is indicative of the importance he placed on his experience there. Except for Hopper and his friend du Bois, who showed one scene of Paris, the other artists exhibited paintings of their American surroundings. Although the reviewer for the New York American hailed the exhibition as “One Step Nearer to a National Art,” Hopper’s work still paid homage to the French capital.

Returning to Paris in March 1909, Hopper resumed painting along the Seine. He also made excursions to Fontainebleau, Chartres, and Saint Germain-en-Laye. He reported to his mother that he had “had a chance upon several ‘fellows’ whom he knew from New York”; these might have been Patrick Henry Bruce, Walter Pach, Guy Pène du Bois, or other classmates from the New York School of Art. Due to unusually rainy weather, he ended his second stay in Paris early, on July 31, and sailed home.

When he returned on his last trip abroad in May 1910, he stayed only a few weeks in Paris, preferring to make a long-anticipated trip to Spain. He then spent another few weeks in Paris and on July 1, 1910, sailed for New York. Although he never again visited Europe, his memories remained vivid and the experience significant for his later development.

Guy Pène du Bois grasped, more than any other writer, the depth of Hopper’s knowledge and admiration of French culture. He wrote: “Something about the French appeals to him. He has studied their language and knows their literature to an extent exceedingly rare among Americans. He has painted Paris with
love in a series of pictures." Hopper was probably reading French literature before he first went abroad, including the romantic novels of Victor Hugo. His design for a cover or frontispiece to an edition of Hugo’s *Les Misérables* was most likely done as an assignment for his illustration class (Fig.15). Four pencil studies for this cover are extant as well as a pen and ink sketch of the novel’s hero Jean Valjean and a rough pencil sketch of Gavroche, another of the characters. Later, possibly just after his first trip to France in 1906-07, he painted an impressive series of watercolor illustrations for an unpublished edition of Hugo’s book of poems about the Paris Commune entitled *L’Année Terrible*, originally published in 1872 (Fig. 16).

Hopper also developed an interest in popular French illustration. He saved several of the humor magazines he must have acquired on one of his last two trips to Paris. Of the three issues he saved of *Les Maîtres Humoristes*, one was devoted to the cartoons of Albert Guillaume and two to the satirical illustrations of Jean Louis Forain. He also saved his copy of the humor magazine *Le Sourire* for May 15, 1909.

The period following his last trip to Europe was a time of economic and aesthetic struggle for Hopper. His love of French, his sense of humor, and his frustration at having to support himself through work as an illustrator when he desired to be an artist are reflected in a sign he made in French after he had settled in New York. It begins “Hopper Maison Fondée 1882” (House of Hopper Founded 1882 [the year of his birth])

Maison E. Hopper. Objects of art and utility. Oil painting, engravings, etchings, courses in painting, drawing and literature, repairing of electric lamps and windows, removal and transportation of trunks, guide to the country, carpenter, laundry, hair dresser, fireman, transportation of trees and flowers, marriage and banquet rooms, lectures, encyclopedia of art and science, mechanic, rapid cures for the ill in spirit such as lightness, frivolity and self-esteem. Reduced prices for widows and orphans. Samples on request. Demand the registered trademark. Maison E. Hopper, 3 Washington Square (Fig.17). Years later he admitted, “It seemed awfully crude and raw here when I got back. It took me ten years to get over Europe.” As late as 1962 he insisted, “I think I’m still an impressionist.”

Noting in 1931 that Hopper’s paintings of Paris “appear to have been completely forgotten,” Guy Pène du Bois acknowledged that Hopper had “become the painter par excellence of the American scene,” and yet he recalled:

I remember one of those Parisian scenes very vividly. It might have been the stark Victorian house whose front yard is traversed by a railroad track. It is of a little café, isolated in a barren landscape, near the fortifications certainly, in which two or three figures sit stolidly at little round tables. You would have difficulty in finding this particular French café in France. You would have difficulty in finding the particular American house that Hopper paints in America. Perhaps one is not more the American scene than the other is the French.

The painting which made such a lasting impression on du Bois is *Soir Bleu* of about 1914 which Hopper first exhibited in a group exhibition at The MacDowell Club of New York City from February 11-21, 1915 (Fig.18). It is a memorable painting among the artist’s oeuvre and not just because it is one of his larger canvases. The painting has a haunting quality; the characters’ expressions are eerie. The people already convey the boredom that Hopper would capture in the paintings of his maturity such as *Automat* of 1927 (Fig. 19) or *Nighthawks* of 1942.
the composition of Automat is predicted in Soir Bleu. The figure in the later painting has taken the place of the clown who sits at a round table at the same angle. The empty chair also echoes the angle of one in the earlier painting. The electric lights replace lanterns. The horizontal and vertical accents are also similar.

Hopper exhibited only one other painting with Soir Bleu—New York Corner (well known today as Corner Saloon) of 1913 (Fig. 20). Among the other eleven artists in the exhibition were George Bellows, Leon Kroll, Randall Davey, and John Sloan. The reviewer for the New York Herald praised New York Corner as “a perfect visualization of New York atmosphere” but ignored the much larger Soir Bleu. Other reviewers were not so subtle: “Edward Hopper is not quite successful with his ‘Soir Bleu,’ a group of hardened Parisian absinthe drinkers, but he is entirely so with his ‘New York Corner,’ and ‘In Edward Hopper’s ‘New York Corner’ there is a completeness of expression that is scarcely discoverable in his ambitious fantasy, ‘Soir Bleu.’”

Hopper’s fantasy grew out of his French experience and reflects his nostalgia for some of the liveliest and most exotic moments of his memory. In May of 1907, he had written to his mother of the “carnival” of Mi-Carême which he explained was “one of the important fêtes of the year”:

Everyone goes to the “Grand Boulevards” and lets himself loose... Do not picture these in costume, they are not for the most part... perhaps a clown with a big nose, or two girls, with bare necks and short skirts... The parade of the kings of the halles (markets) is also one of the events... Some are pretty but look awkward in their silk dresses and crowns, particularly as the broad sun displays their defects—perhaps a neck too thin or a painted face which shows ghastly white in the sunlight. This letter helps to explain the eerie look of the standing woman with her painted face and long thin neck, the presence of the clown, and the scant attire worn by the women. As du Bois indicated, the café appears to be located on the outskirts of the city along the fortifications, the old ramparts encircling Paris where people met to socialize.

In representing a fête, Hopper has recalled the fête galante invented by Watteau in the eighteenth century. Hopper too has explored the psychological subtleties of human nature without reverting to an overt story. His clown, dressed in white, recalls Watteau’s Guites also silhouetted against a dramatic blue sky (Fig. 21). Even the balustrade Hopper used across the back of the space is a device which appeared in Watteau’s works such as the engraving The Island of Cythera (Fig. 22).

Despite the negative critical reaction to his “fantasy” Soir Bleu, Hopper remained involved with French imagery. Nonetheless, he never again exhibited Soir Bleu. When he began to etch in 1915, he chose many French subjects and gave four of his prints French titles: Les Poilus (Fig. 23) and La Barrière (Fig. 24) of 1915-18, Les Deux Pigeons of 1920, and Aux Fortifications of 1923. Other prints include subject matter that is clearly French: Evening, the Seine, Cafe, Street in Paris, and Somewhere in France, all of 1915-18, and Train and Bathers of 1920.

Hopper had not yet had a one-man show when a group of his watercolors was reproduced in Arts and Decoration in February 1916. In the first magazine article to feature his work, he allowed himself to be represented by eight watercolor caricatures that he had made in Paris, again indicating the importance he placed on that aspect of his career (Figs. 2 and 3).

One of the few artists that Hopper would admit he admired was the nineteenth-century Parisian etcher Charles Meryon whose preoccupation with light and architecture matched his own. In illustrating the cover for La France for November 1919 (Fig. 25), Hopper certainly was aware of a similar view in Charles
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Fig. 14. Edward Hopper, The Bridge of the Arts, 1907. Oil on canvas, 23 1/16 x 28 1/16". Whitney Museum of American Art, Bequest of Josephine N. Hopper.

Fig. 15. Edward Hopper, illustration for Victor Hugo’s Les Misérables, c. 1900-09. Pen and ink on paper, 9 1/16 x 6 1/4". Whitney Museum of American Art, Bequest of Josephine N. Hopper.

Fig. 16. Edward Hopper, illustration for Victor Hugo’s Book of Poems, L’Année Terrible, 1906-07 or 1909. Pen and ink on paper, 8 1/16 x 6 1/4". Whitney Museum of American Art, Bequest of Josephine N. Hopper.

Fig. 17. Edward Hopper, Maison E. Hopper, c. 1913-19. Ink on paper, 5 x 7 3/4". Private Collection.
Meryon's etching *Le Stryge or The Vampire* of 1853, one of the artist's most famous images. But his cover is closer still to a view of the familiar gargoule captured by Charles Negre in his well known photograph of around 1851 of *Henri Le Secq at Notre-Dame*. Hopper's illustration of two covers for this American magazine devoted to French culture probably represented a commercial assignment that he found more palatable than most.25

Finally during January 14-28, 1920, Hopper, at the age of thirty-seven, had his first one-man show at the Whitney Studio Club on West 4th Street. Of the sixteen oil paintings he exhibited, eleven were painted in France over ten years earlier, the remainder during more recent summers spent in Massachusetts or Maine. He now listed the French titles of pictures in the catalogue, rather than reverting to the English translations he had used for three of these paintings in 1908 (Figs. 5, 6, and 14). His decision to exhibit so many paintings from his French sojourn rather than works painted in America again reveals the significance he placed on these pictures and on his stay in Paris.36

On July 9, 1924, Hopper married Josephine Verstille Nivison, also a former Henri student and a painter, at the Huguenot Church—the Eglise Evangelique on West 16th Street. Years later Jo revealed that in an argument she had demanded that he list three reasons why he married her. He replied, she said, "You have curly hair. You know some French. And you're an orphan."37

The Christmas card he designed for Jo in 1923 before their marriage indicates that France represented a romantic ideal for Hopper—in fact, a symbol of longing (Fig. 26). He represented them together reclining before an open window, with the full moon and the spires of Notre Dame in plain view in the Paris night. Yet Hopper had not been in Paris for thirteen years and he and Jo had never been there together. Beneath this scene, next to the inscription "A Mlle. Jo Noel, 1923," he included six lines on evening from Paul Verlaine's "La Lune Blanche." These lines were an appropriate choice; they were from the collection *La Bonne Chanson* that Verlaine had written for his fiancée in 1870. Hopper quoted these same lines in an interview nearly forty years later.46

Jo encouraged Hopper's love of French, for she too was a Francophile. "Once," she said, "he started quoting Verlaine on Bass Rock in Gloucester. I took it up when he stopped. He was surprised."47 The year they were married she gave him the major book *Degas* by Paul Jamot, recently published in France.48 During their long marriage, Hopper often wrote cards and notes in French (Fig. 27). Among the many cartoons he drew for her, *Le Reve de Josie* represents her dream man; it is a caricature of Hopper as a tattered professorial, monocled intellectual (Fig. 28). The books he has in his rustic basket are telling; two are in French: Marcel Proust's *Du Cote de Chez Swan* and *Poesie de Paul Verlaine*.

The turning point in Hopper's life came in 1924 not only with his marriage, but four months later in November when the Frank K. M. Rehn Gallery gave him a one-man show of his recent watercolors. The exhibition was a success and sold out, enabling him to cease work as an illustrator. These watercolors were painted out-of-doors "from the fact" and marked a definite departure from his enduring nostalgia for France. They were of the world he had observed around him particularly during the summers of 1923 and 1924 spent in Gloucester, Massachusetts. With a renewed sense of confidence after years of struggle, Hopper began to work more frequently in oil developing his
mature style.

By this time, his consciousness had been raised and he believed that "now or in the near future American art should be weaned from its French mother." He understood well, however, that American artists could learn worthwhile lessons from European art:

To expect a new and entirely unique art in America is not to understand the lesson of history—the logical growth of the art of one nation from that of another or others. It is self-evident that new countries must be settled by older peoples, who carry their ethnic and aesthetic inheritance to the new land. These serve as a foundation for future development in the changed environment.  

Although obvious references to France or French art ceased to appear in his work by 1924, Hopper continued to derive inspiration from French nineteenth-century painting. He subsequently limited all overt allusions to French culture to private communications with his wife. Years later, he still inscribed drawings to his wife in French (Fig. 29). When asked which painters from the past he admired, he cited "Rembrandt above all, and the etcher Meryon. His sunlight is romantic; there's absolutely the essence of sunlight in that etching of his called Turret in the Weavers Street...I also like Degas very much." Hopper's many adaptations of motif and composition from French artists like Manet and Degas continued throughout his maturity and are too numerous to survey here. He began this assimilation of French art in his early sketches and in his illustrations and simply continued the process in certain of his etchings and oil paintings, perhaps not always on a conscious level.

Among his etchings, House on a Hill (The Buggy) of 1920 (Fig. 20) presents a horse-drawn carriage partially cut off by the bottom edge of the composition. The intentional placement (as is indicated by a preliminary sketch) in the extreme lower-right corner recalls Degas' composition in Carriage at the Races (Fig. 31).

Many of Hopper's paintings are organized with a sharply receding diagonal thrusting emphatically into space and culminating in an off-center vanishing point, a compositional arrangement frequently found in Degas' work. Comparing, for example, Hopper's New York Movie (Fig. 32) of 1939 with Degas' The Interior (Fig. 33), not only is there a similar diagonal recession in both, but also apparent is a striking resemblance between the pose of the usherette in New York Movie and the man in The Interior. Like Degas, Hopper, in order to dramatize his painting, stressed the lights and shadows which appear in a dimly lit interior.

Even in his last painting, Two Comedians of 1965 (Fig. 34), Hopper appears to have referred back to French art. He and his wife adored the theater and attended it frequently. It should not surprise us then that it is they who take their final bows. Hopper no doubt admired the theatrical themes of Manet, Degas, Daumier, and others. His own composition is certainly in the tradition of a work like Daumier's lithograph The Recall of the Singer (Fig. 35). The costumes, however, recall the members of the Commedia dell'Arte painted frequently by Watteau. Hopper appears to have cast himself and his wife as the young lovers Pierrot and Pierrette. They are the comedians, then, who by their last act have discovered the most ironic comedy of all—human existence. Since Hopper chose to portray himself as a clown here, it is tempting to speculate that, at the very least, he had also felt some degree of identification with the figure of the
downcast clown in his early painting *Soir Bleu* (Fig. 18). Hopper, who was already balding at the time, was so self-conscious during these years that he always wore a hat when photographed. From Paris he had even written to his mother to report that it appeared that his hairs had “ceased to fall out in such large quantities.”!*4*

In his “Notes on Painting” written for the catalogue of his first retrospective in 1933, Hopper warned:

> The question of the value of nationality in art is perhaps unsolvable. In general it can be said that a nation’s art is greatest when it most reflects the character of its people. French art seems to prove this.

The Romans were not an aesthetically sensitive people, nor did Greece’s intellectual domination over them destroy their racial character, but who is to say that they might not have produced a more original and vital art without this domination. One might draw a not too far-fetched parallel between France and our land. The domination of France in the plastic arts has been almost complete for the last thirty years or more in this country.

If an apprenticeship to a master has been necessary, I think we have served it. Any further relation of such a character can only mean humiliation to us. After all we are not French and never can be and any attempt to be so is to deny our inheritance and to try of impose [upon] ourselves a character that can be nothing but a veneer upon the surface.)*48*

Thus Hopper rejected the French “veneer” he had once loved and ostensibly followed the growing trend toward a more nationalistic art. Although he was never to forget the marvelous experience or the extraordinary art of France, he responded to the critics’ pleas for an “American” art. Among his contemporaries, only Guy Pène du Bois understood that Hopper was no mere provincial:

It is a pity that the patriotic admirers of Hopper have discounted Hopper. No painter’s importance can rest so simply in that which he paints. But then, these mistakes adjust themselves. Sometime, Hopper will be recognized as a painter with a very sound technical equipment and a superbly individual vision.*48*

It is time to recognize that du Bois was correct. Hopper’s achievement belongs to a much larger context than the American Scene. His aims and his work transcend any provincial attitude. He deserves to be viewed in the great tradition of the artists he admired most.

---
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Fig. 32. Edward Hopper, Two Comedians, 1965. Oil on canvas, 29 x 40". Private Collection.
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Fig. 35. Honoré Daumier, The Recall of the Singer, 1857. Lithograph, 8 x 10½". Metropolitan Museum of Art, Rogers Fund, 1922.

Fig. 36. Honoré Daumier, The Recall of the Singer, 1857. Lithograph, 8 x 10½". Metropolitan Museum of Art, Rogers Fund, 1922.